## THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.RAMANA

## Writ Petition No.25596 of 2006

## Date: 04.01.2007

**Between:**

S.S. Basha, S/o Gaphoor Saheb, Driver, E.No.550905, aged about 39 years, R/o Down Street, Kalluru village and post, Pulicherla Mandal, Chittoor District.

**…..PETITIONER**

**AND**

The Regional Manager, APSRTC,
Thirupati, Chittoor District
and another.

**….RESPONDENTS**

## THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.RAMANA

## Writ Petition No. 25596 of 2006

## Order:

Petitioner was appointed as a casual Driver in the respondents-Corporation in the year 1996 and worked as such till he was terminated on 21.01.1998 on the ground that he got employment by producing bogus driving licence. Assailing the said termination orders, dated 21.01.1998, the petitioner raised an industrial dispute in I.D. No. 264 of 1998, and the Labour Court, vide award dated 26.02.2000, based on the scheme introduced by the respondents-Corporation giving an opportunity to the drivers who produced the non-genuine driving licence, directed the respondents-Corporation to appoint the petitioner as fresh driver on production of genuine driving licence. Pursuant to the award of the Labour Court, the petitioner was reappointed as fresh casual driver on 18.03.2004.

Now the grievance of the petitioner is that some of his batch mates who were terminated on the allegation of producing bogus licence were appointed in a regular vacancy even though the Labour Court directed the respondents to give them fresh appointment, but he has been deprived of the same. Hence, he made a representation, dated 26.07.2006, requesting the 1st respondent to regularize his service on par with others and the same was not considered till date.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents-Corporation, and having regard to the fact that the representation dated 26.07.2006 made by the petitioner, seeking to regularize his service on par with his batch mates who were terminated on the allegation of producing bogus licence and were appointed in a regular vacancy despite the Labour Court directing to give fresh appointment, is said to be pending consideration before the

1st respondent, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with the following directions:

The 1st respondent, namely the Regional Manager, APSRTC, Tirupathi, Chittoor District, is directed to consider and dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 26.07.2006 and pass appropriate orders thereon in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

**N.V. RAMANA, J.**

Date: 04.01.2007
Nsr/Grr